Washoe County School District K-5 Science
2020 Instructional Materials Evaluation Rubric
(Certified Teaching Professional Form)

Category 1: Designed for Three-Dimensional Learning

Criterion 1A: Phenomena and Problems

Instructional materials focus on students making sense of phenomena or designing solutions to problems which drives student learning.

- Students are engaged with phenomena or problems that can be explained or solved through the application of the three-dimensions.
- A good phenomenon builds on everyday student experiences and targets grade appropriate learning goals.
- Anchoring, investigative and everyday phenomena may be present.
- Engineering lessons require students to use elements of the science core ideas together with elements of core ideas from engineering design to solve problems.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Phenomena are just for the initial hook.	Phenomena drives the learning.
Phenomena are fun or flashy to engage students.	Phenomena that builds on everyday experiences supports engagement.
Phenomena are brought in after students develop the science ideas so they can apply what they learned.	The development of science ideas is anchored in investigations of phenomena.
Engineering problems are unrelated to the science learning.	Engineering involves designing solutions to problems that arise from phenomena.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Photographs of trash washed up on a beach that leads into a unit on waves in 4th grade physical science unit. (A first-hand experience, video, photograph or data set to start a learning sequence that becomes the "why" for further study grounded in everyday student experiences.)
- ◊ A time lapse video of a badger decomposing is shown to start a life science unit on matter and energy in ecosystems. Students return to the video throughout to explain what is happening.
- ◊ Garbage from the lunchroom is examined to start a unit on matter and how it changes in the 5th grade physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students explore balloons, aluminum cans, styrofoam beads, and transparent tape to make observations and generate questions about static electricity in the physical science unit, lesson 3.
- ◊ Students address the problem of communicating at a distance without sound following a study of light in the 1st grade physical science unit.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Phenomena is present in this program. There is evidence of this is in first unit animals take care of their young, where students watch a video that introduces the phenomena. Technology and picture cards are examples of phenomena.

Power point slides of dog.
yellow & black fish video

Phenomena changes every lesson. It doesn't remain the same. In the Plants and Animals Unit, the phenomena changes from observing similarities and differences in animals to designing a device to carry and protect their items while on a safari.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1A? Include your reasoning.
Bring Science Alive materials support Criterion 1A, by providing engineering experiences that solve a problem that came from phenomena. It also has evidence of phenomena that builds on everyday experiences that supports engagement. However, phenomena doesn't consistently drive the learning. It does appear to have science ideas that are anchored in the phenomena, but it is not revisited for solid sense making.

Criterion 1B: Integrating the Three-Dimensions

Instructional materials integrate elements of the Science and Engineering Practices, Crosscutting Concepts and Disciplinary Core Ideas.

- Students develop and use multiple grade-appropriate elements of each dimension.
- The dimensions are integrated to work together and are not taught in isolation.
- Learning experiences integrate multiple different pairings of the dimensions to build understanding of the targeted performance expectations.
- Engineering is integrated with at least once per domain of science.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Students only engage in a single practice element in a lesson.	The lesson helps students use and develop multiple practice elements.
Lessons teach the dimensions separately or only address them at the general level.	Lessons integrate grade-appropriate elements for each dimension.
Engineering lessons focus on trial and error activities that don't require science or engineering knowledge.	Grade-appropriate elements of engineering design and science core ideas are integrated with the other dimensions.
Engineering consists of challenges or step-by-step directions to build something.	Engineering is integrated into the grade-appropriate science core ideas and use explanations of phenomena to design solutions.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ 4th grade students conduct an investigation using fair tests in which variables are controlled and the number of trials considered, including collecting measurements and representing data in tables, with balls and ramps to determine cause and effect relationships of speed and energy in unit 2 lesson 4.
- ◊ Students ask questions about waves moving objects and collaboratively investigate how to make different looking waves in water and analyze how these waves affect a floating object in the physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students generate, test and refine solutions to keep two moving objects from touching each other by applying ideas learned about magnets in lesson 9.
- ◊ With teacher guidance, kindergarten students conduct an investigation with peers to compare the effects of different directions of pushes and pulls on playground balls, student chairs and erasers on different surfaces in the physical science unit, lesson 3.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Evidence: In the first grade plants and animals unit, there is evidence of participating in engineering challenges like designing their own gloves to best prove insulation when placed in ice or planting their own seeds in a paper towel. On pages 42-49 of the Bring Science Alive Review guide, it shows an incredible progression chart that shows how the lessons are integrated with the SEP's, CCC's, DCI's and how it is connected to the phenomena.

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1B? Include your reasoning.
Engineering is integrated into grade appropriate science core ideas to design a solution. After a closer look into the progression document, I am not sure I understand the coherence in the lesson phenomena #4 Some animals do not have legs and the engineering task of designing a glove to keep their hands warm connects to animal parts being used to take care of their young.

Criterion 1C: Coherence

Instructional materials help students develop increasingly sophisticated understandings within and across grade-levels.

- Lessons fit together to target a set of performance expectations with multiple opportunities for students to revise understandings over time.
- Instructional materials make connections between lessons, units and grade levels.
- Lessons build on students' prior learning in all three dimensions.
- Students engage in learning experiences with explicit connections to other science disciplines and other subjects with intention.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Lessons are unrelated.	Lessons are presented in a logical sequence to develop understandings.
Lessons build on prior learning but only for the DCIs.	Lessons work together with students' foundational knowledge and practice from prior lessons and grade levels.
Science domains are taught in isolation.	When appropriate, science domains are integrated to show connections.
Other subjects, when included, are not intentionally chosen to support grade-level standards.	Grade-level appropriate standards from ELA, math or other subject areas are integrated with science learning.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ In the first unit, students address the physical science standards around the phenomena of garbage and partially address a life science standard related to decomposers. In the second unit on ecosystems, students extend and apply their understanding of decomposers which leads to an engineering problem about materials such as plastics that do not decompose.
- ◊ 5th grade students are investigating water sources around the Earth and make connections to properties of matter in both the physical science and earth science units and prior learning about weather and climate from 3rd grade.
- ◊ 2nd grade students plan and conduct an investigation with water and earth materials as a whole class in one lesson and then in the next lesson students work with a small group to plan and conduct another investigation with wind and earth materials to further learning of core ideas and practices.
- ◊ Students apply ELA learning to write a set of directions for conducting an investigation in the earth science unit, lesson 6.
- ◊ Students use line plots to analyze climate data in grade 3, unit 4.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Evidence: On pg. 17 in Bring Science Alive lesson guide in the plants and animals unit, it showed a learning progression document making the connections between kindergarten, first grade and third grade standards. In the Students science journals, there is evidence of grade appropriate text for reading, sections to build vocabulary and encourages writing tasks that support ELA standards.

Evidence: Section Progress Build p. 6 in Teachers Guide Avoid being eaten Structures for defense Offspring Structures

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1C? Include your reasoning.
Lessons seem to work together building on students foundational knowledge. One example of this is found where students are learning what animals do to avoid being eaten, then they learn structures for defense, then, they learn about offsprings structures. However, there is minimal logical coherence in lesson sequencing. I worry that it isn't explicit enough and that students are expected to infer that offspring are similar but not exactly like their parents. Phenomena changes for every lesson which can cause confusion for first grade learners.

Category 2: Instructional Supports

Criterion 2A: Assessing the Three-Dimensions

Instructional materials support monitoring student progress in all three dimensions.

- Multiple and varied assessments allow students to demonstrate learning in all three dimensions including application of knowledge in performance tasks.
- Formative assessments, including teacher, self and peer assessments, are embedded throughout to inform instruction.
- Assessments are unbiased and elicit direct, observable evidence from all students.
- A phenomena or problem drives tasks, and information from the task is required to complete it.
- Rubrics and scoring guidelines are provided for interpreting student performance including sample student responses

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Checks for understanding elicit only a few student responses or rely primarily on group projects.	Individual student artifacts are used to measure student understanding of each dimension.
Only summative assessments that measure the end point of understanding are provided.	Formative assessments provide feedback to adjust instruction and inform students of their progress.
Assessments are primarily rote memorization of facts and terminology.	Assessments reflect application of all three dimensions including tasks that are driven by phenomena or problems.
Only multiple-choice tests are given.	Multiple measures and types of assessment are used throughout.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ As students are engaged in a performance task in unit 3, lesson 2, there are indicators for teachers to look for and information about possible responses. This information is embedded in the lesson.
- ◊ In unit 1, there are two performance assessments, peer feedback throughout, exits slips, a summative assessment, and a prewrite.
- ◊ Individual student learning is assessed using written work that answers the driving question of the unit. (Earth Science, p. 76)

Specific Evidence from Materials
There is evidence of performance assessments given after three lessons to check for understanding. Performance assessments are contained within the science journal making it convenient for teachers. There is also a likert type scale that can be used to check student understanding.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2A? Include your reasoning.
The materials minimally support Criterion 2A because they show no rubrics or evidence where they are encouraging student artifacts. The performance assessments given in the student science journal are not authentic. Most of the performance assessments are fill in the blank.

Criterion 2B: Instructional Experiences

Instructional materials support equitable access to science knowledge and practice for all students.

- Students engage with direct (preferably first-hand), shared experiences that are relevant and authentic, serve as the basis for learning and meet the developmental needs of elementary students.
- Students' questions, prior experiences and diverse backgrounds related to the phenomenon or problem drive learning.
- Materials provide an opportunity to learn by allowing for the development of scientific understandings over time using multiple modalities.
- Materials provide opportunities for students to make connections to and explore the natural world outside the classroom.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
The lesson focuses on a topic that some students have experienced.	Direct experiences in the classroom provide access and equity for all students.
Student questions and prior experiences are not connected to the learning.	Materials provide supports for connecting students' own questions to the targeted learning.
Students read about what others have done.	Students learn through concrete experiences.
Only one or two modalities are used.	Multiple modalities are used throughout each instructional segment.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Students develop physical models of a landfill as open and closed systems to observe during the 5th grade garbage unit.
- ◊ Students identify components of garbage disposal in their homes and communities which connects the phenomena that started the unit.
- ◊ Students conduct a first-hand investigation of erosion and deposition using a stream table, observe time-lapse videos of landslides, engage in a virtual investigation, read accounts of earth changes by wind and record changes to the playground after a snow event in the 4th grade earth science unit.
- ◊ Students raise trout from eggs in the classroom and make observations over time as part of their study of traits in the life science unit.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Evidence in Plants and Animals Unit: Students participate in engineering challenge where they minmick animal parts p. 71 in the plants and animals unit. Students will construct a glove to keep their hands warm in ice water. Students are shown videos where they observe animals and infer animals offspring look like their parents. The student science journals allow for opportunities for students to ask/ write their questions that they have.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2B? Include your reasoning.
The materials support Criterion 2B in several ways: Students do participate in common shared experiences through videos and engineering challenges. Students science journals provide a place for students to write their questions that they have while learning about the phenomena. Many concrete experiences are provided through engineering challenges and planting a seed in a paper towel.

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Criterion 2C: Student Sense-making

Instructional materials support equitable access to science knowledge and practice for all students.

- Materials support student sense-making at both an individual and group level.
- Classroom discourse focuses on expressing and clarifying student reasoning with opportunities for students to share ideas and feedback with each other that supports the iterative and collaborative co-construction of explanations.
- Materials include supports for oral and written language processing to involve students in scientific discourse for sense-making including a language-in-use approach.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
The teacher tells students what they need to know.	Students figure out explanations through classroom discourse with each other.
The teacher calls on a few students to share reasoning.	All students have the opportunity to share their thinking orally or in writing.
The focus is only on getting the "right" answer.	Sense-making begins with initial student ideas that progress in sophistication.
Vocabulary is front-loaded. All students are provided with sentence frames.	Specialized vocabulary is embedded in the instructional sequence with multiple opportunities to use and development over time. Writing supports are differentiated.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ 5th grade students develop models of how scents travel that are refined over the course of a three weeks using a combination of visual and written language providing multiple opportunities to develop precise vocabulary in the physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students answer questions in their notebook individually using pictures and words to explain their initial ideas about how static electricity works and have the opportunity to revise this after discussing with a peer and the whole class in the physical science unit.
- ◊ The teacher facilitates a class discussion using a word wall where kindergarten students share observations with a shoulder partner and the whole group about the kinds of things in their homes to figure out that the things we need come from nature.
- ◊ Suggested sentence frames are included to support oral and written responses when needed with the goal of independence.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Sense making is happening on an individual level. A check for understanding is provided on a continuum chart or likert type scale. Pictures in students and places to write in science journal support student learning. Vocabulary is provided in the student science journals in word banks. Ex. pg. 13 of the plants and animal unit. Ex. p. 129 in plants and animal unit, circle what you observed. (Teacher will need to read words to first grade students)

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2C? Include your reasoning.
The materials support Criterion 2C minimally by using the science journals for sense making in writing but is lacking time for oral discussions or classroom discourse.

Criterion 2D: Teacher Supports

Instructional materials support teacher planning and instruction.

- Materials include background knowledge in both science content and pedagogy and student preconceptions.
- Materials have clear and concise instructional directions and connections to the standards.
- Materials provide guidance to support differentiated instruction, extra support and extensions at the appropriate level for a broad range of learners.
- Materials include professional learning and additional instructional planning resources.
- Materials have supports for families to extend learning and offers suggestions for how to help their student(s) at home.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Information prior to lessons gives teachers things to except that students may already know in unit 1 p.12 in addition to how their understandings will build.
- ◊ 1st grade students have a choice to investigate different variables related to shadows, and suggestions are included as well as extensions to do at home. (Light unit, p. 40)
- ◊ The assessments include recommendations for additional supports when students don't meet expectations. "Students may struggle to articulate that scientists look back at the data they collect to look for patterns and to draw conclusions about phenomena. One way to support them in this thinking might be to share an anecdote such as, "When I was growing up, my mom used to measure my height by marking it on the wall in the pantry. When I look back at those marks, I notice patterns, like that I grew faster between the ages of 5 and 8 than I did when I was older."" (p.14)
- ◊ Students make observations of the sky with their families, looking for patterns over time. Families are provided with background information on how to record observations and what patterns to expect.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Evidence: Sections in the Teacher's Manual specific to teachers: Learning progression, EL learners, Special needs section, science background knowledge and vocabulary. There is a pacing section with a fast paced section and a mastery investigation pacing guide. There are picture cards, videos and online access. Also, slides to go with student science journals.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2D? Include your reasoning.
Click or tap here to enter text.

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Category 3: Student Materials

Criterion 3A: Program Design

Instructional materials represent a comprehensive K-5 core program.

- Materials provide instruction that correlates to our recommended instructional minutes for science.
- Materials are available in both English and Spanish.
- Graphic organizers and templates for science notebooks are included.
- Teacher and student materials have resources both in print and online.
- Multimedia supports are included such as videos, tutorials and simulations and when appropriate reflect the diversity of our students and society in a culturally responsive manner including different genders and sexual orientations, from many cultures and nations, both contemporary and historical.
- Technology options are appropriate for both classroom and home use possibly including translation capabilities.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ There are four units, each representing nine weeks of instruction at 180 minutes a week. This is short of our recommended minutes of 240 minutes a week for 5th grade.
- ◊ There are 132 60-min lessons for the whole year. This correlates to our recommended instructional minutes of 220 minutes per week for grades K-2. (3-4 60-min lessons per week)

Specific Evidence from Materials
Evidence: Student science journals come in both English and Spanish. Very accessible to students. In the plants and animals unit, there were graphic organizers in student science journals examples on pg. 19,30,32,& 34 Technology and videos support phenomena.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 3A? Include your reasoning.
Click or tap here to enter text.

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Criterion 3B: Science Equipment

Instructional materials include hands-on materials and equipment that support three-dimensional learning.

- Enough materials are included to allow students to do the investigations themselves.
- Materials are of a quality that will last through many uses.
- Materials include the tools necessary to make observations and measurements and conduct investigations.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ There are enough thermometers, graduated cylinders, balances, magnifying glasses and measuring tapes for a whole class to use in small groups.
- ◊ Everything is included to engage in the lessons except for things that would already be found in the classroom like tape and colored pencils.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Instructional materials include consumable materials, like soil, cups, cotton balls, beans, Ziploc bags, Crisco, feathers, felt, student science journals for all three units (plants & animals, light & sound, Sky) Containers bins containing hands on materials for engineer design challenges and experiments. What is included in the student bundles?

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 3B? Include your reasoning.
Click or tap here to enter text.

Criterion 3C: Reading Materials

Instructional materials include grade-appropriate texts that support three-dimensional learning.

- A range of text types and genres, both print and online, are embedded in learning experiences.
- Texts support context-specific academic vocabulary.
- Materials reflect the diversity of our students and society in a culturally responsive manner including different genders and sexual orientations, from many cultures and nations, both contemporary and historical.
- Materials include supports for reading comprehension for a variety of student needs including high-quality questions.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Texts include an interview of Sylvia Earle and profiles of Chien Shiung Wu and Benjamin Banneker. These highlight the connections to the science students are learning. Pictures of scientists working in the field represent the diversity of our students.
- ◊ Cultural myths about the sun and stars are included in the earth/space science unit.
- ◊ Vocabulary is highlighted in the reading that follows a concrete experience where the vocabulary is first introduced.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Student science journals contain text passages but students will need teacher support to access the print. Vocabulary is supported by pictures in science journals. Vocabulary cards are provided both picture and word cards. Set of read aloud books : Parents & Offspring Who's lunch is this? Frog's model

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1A? Include your reasoning.
The material support Criterion 3C because instructional text sets are provided, vocabulary word/picture cards are provided however, the text sets are meant for read aloud possibly because students can not access these texts independently. I wonder why Amplify chose to include frog's model when it is not expected that we study amphibians in first grade. The book Parent's & Offspring and Who's lunch is this…..would be great read alouds.

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Evaluator: 33 Grade Level: 1st Grade Program: TCI-Bring Science Alive

Referenced, adapted and formatted from the following documents:

Achieve, Inc. (2016). EQuIP Rubric, Version 3.0.

Achieve, Inc. (2018). Science Task Prescreen.

Achieve, Inc. (2016). Using Phenomena in NGSS-Designed Lessons and Units.

Achieve, Inc. (2017). Primary Evaluation of Essential Criteria (PEEC) for Next Generation Science Standards Instructional Materials Design.

Brennan et al. (2017) *Priority Features of NGSS-Aligned Instructional Materials: Recommendations for Publishers, Reviewers and Educators* [White paper].

BSCS (2017). Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science.

BSCS Science Learning (2018). NextGen TIME

MacDonald et al. (2020). *Design Principles for Engaging Multilingual Learners in Three-Dimensional Science* Wisconsin Center for Education Research (WCER) Working Paper No. 2020-1. https://wcer.wisc.edu/docs/working-papers/WCER_Working_Paper_No_2020_1.pdf

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) (2017). *Seeing Students Learn Science: Integrating Assessment and Instruction in the Classroom.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

National Research Council (NRC) (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

NGSS Lead States (2013). *Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nolan, C. (2015). *Getting their hands dirty: Engaging learners in authentic science practices outside the classroom* (Practice Brief No. 20). STEM Teaching Tools.

Washoe County School District Needs Evaluation

Washoe County School District. (2020). Request for Instructional Material Submission RFP 2020A.