Washoe County School District K-5 Science
2020 Instructional Materials Evaluation Rubric
(Certified Teaching Professional Form)

Category 1: Designed for Three-Dimensional Learning

Criterion 1A: Phenomena and Problems

Instructional materials focus on students making sense of phenomena or designing solutions to problems which drives student learning.

- Students are engaged with phenomena or problems that can be explained or solved through the application of the three-dimensions.
- A good phenomenon builds on everyday student experiences and targets grade appropriate learning goals.
- Anchoring, investigative and everyday phenomena may be present.
- Engineering lessons require students to use elements of the science core ideas together with elements of core ideas from engineering design to solve problems.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Phenomena are just for the initial hook.	Phenomena drives the learning.
Phenomena are fun or flashy to engage students.	Phenomena that builds on everyday experiences supports engagement.
Phenomena are brought in after students develop the science ideas so they can apply what they learned.	The development of science ideas is anchored in investigations of phenomena.
Engineering problems are unrelated to the science learning.	Engineering involves designing solutions to problems that arise from phenomena.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Photographs of trash washed up on a beach that leads into a unit on waves in 4th grade physical science unit. (A first-hand experience, video, photograph or data set to start a learning sequence that becomes the "why" for further study grounded in everyday student experiences.)
- ◊ A time lapse video of a badger decomposing is shown to start a life science unit on matter and energy in ecosystems. Students return to the video throughout to explain what is happening.
- ◊ Garbage from the lunchroom is examined to start a unit on matter and how it changes in the 5th grade physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students explore balloons, aluminum cans, styrofoam beads, and transparent tape to make observations and generate questions about static electricity in the physical science unit, lesson 3.
- ◊ Students address the problem of communicating at a distance without sound following a study of light in the 1st grade physical science unit.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Phenomenon: Unit 1 – some animals take care of their young Yellow fish look similar to one another, but different from the black fish.

Ducklings look like a tinier version of their parents (8 phenomenon for unit)

Unit 2 – You can't see in the dark, but you can hear in the dark

You can use a flashlight to see objects in a dark place (6 phenomenon in this unit)

Unit 3 – the moon can be seen in the sky at different times

It is light during the day and dark at night (5 phenomenon in this unit)

The lessons are based on investigating the phenomenon.
Some lessons have a symbol that says "This lesson includes engineering"

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1A? Include your reasoning.
These phenomenon are based off of every day experiences for the students. Each lesson investigates the phenomenon. I think the phenomenon are relavent to the students lives and connect to the performance expectation.

Criterion 1B: Integrating the Three-Dimensions

Instructional materials integrate elements of the Science and Engineering Practices, Crosscutting Concepts and Disciplinary Core Ideas.

- Students develop and use multiple grade-appropriate elements of each dimension.
- The dimensions are integrated to work together and are not taught in isolation.
- Learning experiences integrate multiple different pairings of the dimensions to build understanding of the targeted performance expectations.
- Engineering is integrated with at least once per domain of science.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Students only engage in a single practice element in a lesson.	The lesson helps students use and develop multiple practice elements.
Lessons teach the dimensions separately or only address them at the general level.	Lessons integrate grade-appropriate elements for each dimension.
Engineering lessons focus on trial and error activities that don't require science or engineering knowledge.	Grade-appropriate elements of engineering design and science core ideas are integrated with the other dimensions.
Engineering consists of challenges or step-by-step directions to build something.	Engineering is integrated into the grade-appropriate science core ideas and use explanations of phenomena to design solutions.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ 4th grade students conduct an investigation using fair tests in which variables are controlled and the number of trials considered, including collecting measurements and representing data in tables, with balls and ramps to determine cause and effect relationships of speed and energy in unit 2 lesson 4.
- ◊ Students ask questions about waves moving objects and collaboratively investigate how to make different looking waves in water and analyze how these waves affect a floating object in the physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students generate, test and refine solutions to keep two moving objects from touching each other by applying ideas learned about magnets in lesson 9.
- ◊ With teacher guidance, kindergarten students conduct an investigation with peers to compare the effects of different directions of pushes and pulls on playground balls, student chairs and erasers on different surfaces in the physical science unit, lesson 3.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Each Lesson lists the PE, Phenomenon, DCI, CC, and SEP. The 3D are based off of the phenomenon.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1B? Include your reasoning.
The 3D described are not the exact wording of the actual 3Ds. For example: DCI: Students determine that the yellow fish look similar to one another, but are different from the black fish as they … Instead of listing the actual DCI. The 3D do seem in integrate across each other. Lesson 1 students are observing patterns and making observations. Engineering seems integrated when necessary, it is not in every lesson.

Criterion 1C: Coherence

Instructional materials help students develop increasingly sophisticated understandings within and across grade-levels.

- Lessons fit together to target a set of performance expectations with multiple opportunities for students to revise understandings over time.
- Instructional materials make connections between lessons, units and grade levels.
- Lessons build on students' prior learning in all three dimensions.
- Students engage in learning experiences with explicit connections to other science disciplines and other subjects with intention.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Lessons are unrelated.	Lessons are presented in a logical sequence to develop understandings.
Lessons build on prior learning but only for the DCIs.	Lessons work together with students' foundational knowledge and practice from prior lessons and grade levels.
Science domains are taught in isolation.	When appropriate, science domains are integrated to show connections.
Other subjects, when included, are not intentionally chosen to support grade-level standards.	Grade-level appropriate standards from ELA, math or other subject areas are integrated with science learning.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ In the first unit, students address the physical science standards around the phenomena of garbage and partially address a life science standard related to decomposers. In the second unit on ecosystems, students extend and apply their understanding of decomposers which leads to an engineering problem about materials such as plastics that do not decompose.
- ◊ 5th grade students are investigating water sources around the Earth and make connections to properties of matter in both the physical science and earth science units and prior learning about weather and climate from 3rd grade.
- ◊ 2nd grade students plan and conduct an investigation with water and earth materials as a whole class in one lesson and then in the next lesson students work with a small group to plan and conduct another investigation with wind and earth materials to further learning of core ideas and practices.
- ◊ Students apply ELA learning to write a set of directions for conducting an investigation in the earth science unit, lesson 6.
- ◊ Students use line plots to analyze climate data in grade 3, unit 4.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Unit Progression: Introduce to parents taking care of their young/ examine similarities and differences between animals and plants/ discover families/ investigate how plants and animals meet their needs In kindergarten, students learned what plants and animals need to survive. Integration: The Unit includes CCSS for reading, writing, and speaking and listening. The unit includes the 3Ds.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1C? Include your reasoning.

I think this unit does meet the criteria for 1c because of the following…
The unit discusses the learning progression starting in kindergarten. It also discusses the progression into third grade for the standard.
CCSS reading standards are included.
The unit also discusses ways to differentiate instruction including tips for Els, students with special needs, and advanced learners.

Category 2: Instructional Supports

Criterion 2A: Assessing the Three-Dimensions

Instructional materials support monitoring student progress in all three dimensions.

- Multiple and varied assessments allow students to demonstrate learning in all three dimensions including application of knowledge in performance tasks.
- Formative assessments, including teacher, self and peer assessments, are embedded throughout to inform instruction.
- Assessments are unbiased and elicit direct, observable evidence from all students.
- A phenomena or problem drives tasks, and information from the task is required to complete it.
- Rubrics and scoring guidelines are provided for interpreting student performance including sample student responses

Less of this:	**More** of this:
Checks for understanding elicit only a few student responses or rely primarily on group projects.	Individual student artifacts are used to measure student understanding of each dimension.
Only summative assessments that measure the end point of understanding are provided.	Formative assessments provide feedback to adjust instruction and inform students of their progress.
Assessments are primarily rote memorization of facts and terminology.	Assessments reflect application of all three dimensions including tasks that are driven by phenomena or problems.
Only multiple-choice tests are given.	Multiple measures and types of assessment are used throughout.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ As students are engaged in a performance task in unit 3, lesson 2, there are indicators for teachers to look for and information about possible responses. This information is embedded in the lesson.
- ◊ In unit 1, there are two performance assessments, peer feedback throughout, exits slips, a summative assessment, and a prewrite.
- ◊ Individual student learning is assessed using written work that answers the driving question of the unit. (Earth Science, p. 76)

Specific Evidence from Materials
Formative Assessment: slider question (slider to answer questions) Perfromance Assessment: taking care of belonging, students protect their things from breaking in a safari

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2A? Include your reasoning.
I think this unit somewhat supports criteria in 2A. There is a performance assessment for each unit. There seems to be formative assessments through out, but they are not labeled as such. Teachers could use their notebooks as a formative assessment.

Criterion 2B: Instructional Experiences

Instructional materials support equitable access to science knowledge and practice for all students.

- Students engage with direct (preferably first-hand), shared experiences that are relevant and authentic, serve as the basis for learning and meet the developmental needs of elementary students.
- Students' questions, prior experiences and diverse backgrounds related to the phenomenon or problem drive learning.
- Materials provide an opportunity to learn by allowing for the development of scientific understandings over time using multiple modalities.
- Materials provide opportunities for students to make connections to and explore the natural world outside the classroom.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
The lesson focuses on a topic that some students have experienced.	Direct experiences in the classroom provide access and equity for all students.
Student questions and prior experiences are not connected to the learning.	Materials provide supports for connecting students' own questions to the targeted learning.
Students read about what others have done.	Students learn through concrete experiences.
Only one or two modalities are used.	Multiple modalities are used throughout each instructional segment.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Students develop physical models of a landfill as open and closed systems to observe during the 5th grade garbage unit.
- ◊ Students identify components of garbage disposal in their homes and communities which connects the phenomena that started the unit.
- ◊ Students conduct a first-hand investigation of erosion and deposition using a stream table, observe time-lapse videos of landslides, engage in a virtual investigation, read accounts of earth changes by wind and record changes to the playground after a snow event in the 4th grade earth science unit.
- ◊ Students raise trout from eggs in the classroom and make observations over time as part of their study of traits in the life science unit.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Each student has access to a science journal and the slides whole group. Students are all involved in the investigations, for example, growing a plant. Concerte experience: growing their own plant, hermit crabs Reading/writing/hands on

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2B? Include your reasoning.
I think these materials meet criteria 2B because the students are living the experiences. All the activities take place together in the classroom so they can make connections together. The activities use a lot of realia to support students with different backgrounds.

Criterion 2C: Student Sense-making

Instructional materials support equitable access to science knowledge and practice for all students.

- Materials support student sense-making at both an individual and group level.
- Classroom discourse focuses on expressing and clarifying student reasoning with opportunities for students to share ideas and feedback with each other that supports the iterative and collaborative co-construction of explanations.
- Materials include supports for oral and written language processing to involve students in scientific discourse for sense-making including a language-in-use approach.

Less of this:	**More** of this:
The teacher tells students what they need to know.	Students figure out explanations through classroom discourse with each other.
The teacher calls on a few students to share reasoning.	All students have the opportunity to share their thinking orally or in writing.
The focus is only on getting the "right" answer.	Sense-making begins with initial student ideas that progress in sophistication.
Vocabulary is front-loaded. All students are provided with sentence frames.	Specialized vocabulary is embedded in the instructional sequence with multiple opportunities to use and development over time. Writing supports are differentiated.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ 5th grade students develop models of how scents travel that are refined over the course of a three weeks using a combination of visual and written language providing multiple opportunities to develop precise vocabulary in the physical science unit.
- ◊ 3rd grade students answer questions in their notebook individually using pictures and words to explain their initial ideas about how static electricity works and have the opportunity to revise this after discussing with a peer and the whole class in the physical science unit.
- ◊ The teacher facilitates a class discussion using a word wall where kindergarten students share observations with a shoulder partner and the whole group about the kinds of things in their homes to figure out that the things we need come from nature.
- ◊ Suggested sentence frames are included to support oral and written responses when needed with the goal of independence.

Specific Evidence from Materials
Students share their ideas in their writing journal. Students then discuss their observations they wrote in their notebooks as a whole class. Vocabulary is embedded in the lessons. It is also highlighted in the text. In each lesson there is a sense making slide, students use what they learned and refer back to the phenomena. There is both academic vocabulary and science vocabulary.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2C? Include your reasoning.
These materials do support criterion 2C because the students are continuously making sense of the phenomenon throught their investigations.

Criterion 2D: Teacher Supports

Instructional materials support teacher planning and instruction.

- Materials include background knowledge in both science content and pedagogy and student preconceptions.
- Materials have clear and concise instructional directions and connections to the standards.
- Materials provide guidance to support differentiated instruction, extra support and extensions at the appropriate level for a broad range of learners.
- Materials include professional learning and additional instructional planning resources.
- Materials have supports for families to extend learning and offers suggestions for how to help their student(s) at home.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Information prior to lessons gives teachers things to except that students may already know in unit 1 p.12 in addition to how their understandings will build.
- ◊ 1st grade students have a choice to investigate different variables related to shadows, and suggestions are included as well as extensions to do at home. (Light unit, p. 40)
- ◊ The assessments include recommendations for additional supports when students don't meet expectations. "Students may struggle to articulate that scientists look back at the data they collect to look for patterns and to draw conclusions about phenomena. One way to support them in this thinking might be to share an anecdote such as, "When I was growing up, my mom used to measure my height by marking it on the wall in the pantry. When I look back at those marks, I notice patterns, like that I grew faster between the ages of 5 and 8 than I did when I was older."" (p.14)
- ◊ Students make observations of the sky with their families, looking for patterns over time. Families are provided with background information on how to record observations and what patterns to expect.

Specific Evidence from Materials
The information prior to lesson is written in the materials, stating what the students should have learned in first grade. There is a science background for each lesson discussing what students should know prior to the lesson. There is a list of ways to differentiate the instruction and give extra support in each lesson.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 2D? Include your reasoning.
These materials mostly meet the needs of Criterion 2D. The background knowledge and differentiation section are helpful. There are enhancing videos, but it doesn't specifically say for learning at home.

Category 3: Student Materials

Criterion 3A: Program Design

Instructional materials represent a comprehensive K-5 core program.

- Materials provide instruction that correlates to our recommended instructional minutes for science.
- Materials are available in both English and Spanish.
- Graphic organizers and templates for science notebooks are included.
- Teacher and student materials have resources both in print and online.
- Multimedia supports are included such as videos, tutorials and simulations and when appropriate reflect the diversity of our students and society in a culturally responsive manner including different genders and sexual orientations, from many cultures and nations, both contemporary and historical.
- Technology options are appropriate for both classroom and home use possibly including translation capabilities.

Examples of Evidence:

◊ There are four units, each representing nine weeks of instruction at 180 minutes a week. This is short of our recommended minutes of 240 minutes a week for 5th grade.

◊ There are 132 60-min lessons for the whole year. This correlates to our recommended instructional minutes of 220 minutes per week for grades K-2. (3-4 60-min lessons per week)

Specific Evidence from Materials
Each lesson has a section for pacing. Example: fast track investigation- 44 minutees Master investigation – 87 minutes

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 3A? Include your reasoning.
These materials support criterion 3A of K-2 needing 220 minutes a week of science and 3-5 needing 240 minutes a week of science.

Criterion 3B: Science Equipment

Instructional materials include hands-on materials and equipment that support three-dimensional learning.

- Enough materials are included to allow students to do the investigations themselves.
- Materials are of a quality that will last through many uses.
- Materials include the tools necessary to make observations and measurements and conduct investigations.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ There are enough thermometers, graduated cylinders, balances, magnifying glasses and measuring tapes for a whole class to use in small groups.
- ◊ Everything is included to engage in the lessons except for things that would already be found in the classroom like tape and colored pencils.

Specific Evidence from Materials
There are enough materials for each student to do the investigation. The materials are labeled by unit and by lesson and kept in baggies.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 3B? Include your reasoning.
These materials support criterion 3B. There are materials for students to complete the investigation in groups. The materials are labeled and easy to use.

Criterion 3C: Reading Materials

Instructional materials include grade-appropriate texts that support three-dimensional learning.

- A range of text types and genres, both print and online, are embedded in learning experiences.
- Texts support context-specific academic vocabulary.
- Materials reflect the diversity of our students and society in a culturally responsive manner including different genders and sexual orientations, from many cultures and nations, both contemporary and historical.
- Materials include supports for reading comprehension for a variety of student needs including high-quality questions.

Examples of Evidence:

- ◊ Texts include an interview of Sylvia Earle and profiles of Chien Shiung Wu and Benjamin Banneker. These highlight the connections to the science students are learning. Pictures of scientists working in the field represent the diversity of our students.
- ◊ Cultural myths about the sun and stars are included in the earth/space science unit.
- ◊ Vocabulary is highlighted in the reading that follows a concrete experience where the vocabulary is first introduced.

Specific Evidence from Materials
The reading materials are included in the students science journals. The students can also have these materials online. Reading materials seem grade level appropriate. All materials are nonfiction. The text includes academic vocabulary and science vocabulary in bold words.

To what extent do the materials support Criterion 1A? Include your reasoning.
These materials fits criterion 1A. There is grade level text in their science journals. There are also additional reading opportunities to extend each lesson.

Evaluator: 37 Grade Level:1 Program: Bring Science Alive!

Referenced, adapted and formatted from the following documents:

Achieve, Inc. (2016). EQuIP Rubric, Version 3.0.

Achieve, Inc. (2018). Science Task Prescreen.

Achieve, Inc. (2016). Using Phenomena in NGSS-Designed Lessons and Units.

Achieve, Inc. (2017). Primary Evaluation of Essential Criteria (PEEC) for Next Generation Science Standards Instructional Materials Design.

Brennan et al. (2017) *Priority Features of NGSS-Aligned Instructional Materials: Recommendations for Publishers, Reviewers and Educators* [White paper].

BSCS (2017). Guidelines for the Evaluation of Instructional Materials in Science.

BSCS Science Learning (2018). NextGen TIME

MacDonald et al. (2020). *Design Principles for Engaging Multilingual Learners in Three-Dimensional Science* Wisconsin Center for Education Research (WCER) Working Paper No. 2020-1. https://wcer.wisc.edu/docs/working-papers/WCER_Working_Paper_No_2020_1.pdf

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) (2017). *Seeing Students Learn Science: Integrating Assessment and Instruction in the Classroom.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

National Research Council (NRC) (2012). *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

NGSS Lead States (2013). *Next Generation Science Standards: For States, By States.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Nolan, C. (2015). *Getting their hands dirty: Engaging learners in authentic science practices outside the classroom* (Practice Brief No. 20). STEM Teaching Tools.

Washoe County School District Needs Evaluation

Washoe County School District. (2020). Request for Instructional Material Submission RFP 2020A.